1	BRENDAN CONROY CSB 114726	
2	Attorney at Law 255 Kansas St. Ste. 340	
3	San Francisco, CA 94103 415-565-9600	
4	415-565-9601	
5	Attorney for Defendant McNAIR	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR- 03-0327 MMC
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
12	v.	ORDER
13	CORIE McNAIR,	
14	Defendant.	
15	The parties hereby stipulate as follows:	
16	1. On August 31, 2011 a status conference was held in this matter. Mr. McNair was not	
17	present at that time, as he remained in treatment at the Federal Medical Center at Butner, South	
18	Carolina pursuant to his commitment under 18 U.S.C. 4241(d).	
19	2. On that date, the Court indicated that it had read and considered a Forensic Evaluation from	
20	a Butner staff psychologist, Dr. Angela Walden-Weaver, as well as a cover letter from Sara M. Revell,	
21	Complex Warden, dated August 22, 2011. The report was generated in compliance with this Court's	
22	Order of February 2, 2011. In short, the report stated that Mr. McNair was not competent to proceed	
23	to trial at this time. However, it was the also the opinion of staff that Mr. McNair might be returned	
24	to competence with an additional period of hospitalization and treatment.	
25	3. In light of the above, the Court ordered that Mr. McNair be retained in the custody of the	
26	Department of Justice for continued hospitalization and treatment.	
27		
28	1	

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the court issues the following Order:

- 1. The Federal Medical Center at Butner shall prepare an updated forensic evaluation of Mr. McNair addressing his current competence as well as the likelihood that he will regain competence with further hospitalization and treatment. (18 U.S.C. 4241 et seq) The report shall be provided on or before February 22, 2012.
- 2. The current status conference date, now set for January 11, 2012, is continued to February 29, 2012 in order to allow time for receipt and review of the report.
- 3. The Court finds that the time from January 11, 2012 to February 29, 2012 is excluded from Speedy Trial Act computation on the grounds that the defendant is pending examination to determine his competency and such time is excludable under 18 U.S.C 3161(h)(1)(A).

SO ORDERED

Date January 9, 2012

Maline M. Chelen On Teb STATES DISTRICT CONT